

Response ID ANON-MK8D-A4FR-1

Submitted to **Bakerloo line extension**

Submitted on **2019-12-22 16:11:56**

Our proposals

1 Please let us have any comments about our proposals, including how these may impact you whether in a positive or negative way.

Comments:

Lewisham Cyclists are the local borough group of the London Cycling Campaign (LCC) with more than 1500 supporters of whom over 700 are fully paid-up members of LCC. We speak up on behalf of everyone who cycles or wants to cycle in the London Borough of Lewisham and its adjacent local parks; and we speak up for a greener, healthier, happier and better-connected capital.

General comments on this scheme:

Lewisham Cyclists welcome and support the opportunity brought forward to extend the Bakerloo line to Lewisham through the London Borough of Lewisham (LBL) as part of the Mayor's Transport Strategy (MTS) and the LBL Local Implementation plan and Transport Strategy. We do however have a number of concerns as detailed below.

Lewisham Cyclists believe that the works site adjacent to Lewisham Station and necessary diversion of National Cycle Network Route 21 and Greenwich-Kent House Cycleway onto Jerrard Street requires implementation of a 2 way protected cycle track designed to London Cycle Design Standards.

2 Please let us have any comments on our proposals for a new combined Bakerloo line and Northern line ticket hall at Elephant & Castle station.

Comments:

Lewisham Cyclists support proposals for new combined ticket hall at Elephant and Castle, but believe a secure cycle hub should also be provided to cater for multi modal journeys to encourage people to walk and cycle to/from the station to destinations not served by the extension (e.g. Walworth road, Camberwell Green and King's College hospital).

3 Please let us have any comments on our proposed new route for the Bakerloo line between Lambeth North and Elephant & Castle.

Comments:

4 Please let us have any comments on our proposed route for the Bakerloo line extension between Elephant & Castle and Lewisham.

Comments:

We support extension of the Bakerloo line to Lewisham, but believe there are a number of points which require addressing as follows:

All construction vehicles undertaking works on all works site should meet TfL's highest possible Direct Vision Standard (5 stars).

Cycle parking capacity (long term and short term) at all stations must increase in line with TfL Cycle parking strategy, including provision of secure cycle hubs at Hayes, Beckenham Junction, Lower Sydenham, Catford Bridge, Lewisham and New Cross Gate stations.

Currently non-folding bicycles are allowed on all trains between Hayes and Central London, except at peak times in the peak flow direction. If the line is taken over by the Bakerloo Line we wish to see this practice continuing on the section between Embankment and all stations to Beckenham Junction and Hayes to maximise the potential active travel benefits of the extended line.

Lewisham Cyclists oppose any plans for a works site at Catford as detailed in factsheet 11, due to the proximity to Waterlink Way/National Cycle Network Route 21 with a high number of anticipated vehicle movements and lack of viable diversionary routes for a very busy cycle route.

5a) We have considered three possible primary tunnelling worksites for the proposed extension at New Cross Gate, Hither Green and Catford. Our proposal is for the primary tunnelling worksite to be at New Cross Gate. Please let us have any comments on the possible primary tunnelling worksites.

Comments:

The works site at New Cross Gate must allow access for TfL Cycling Future Route 14 (subject to alignment) and continue to provide a fully protected route for cyclists before, throughout and after construction.

The New Cross Gate re-development should also make allowance for the London Borough of Lewisham East-West 'Route 1' cycling and walking route between Batavia Road and Hatcham Park Road including a proposed bridge across the railway just North of New Cross Gate station.

Lewisham Cyclists oppose any plans for a works site at Catford as detailed in factsheet 11, due to the proximity to Waterlink Way/National Cycle Network Route 21 with a high number of anticipated vehicle movements and lack of viable diversionary routes for a very busy cycle route.

5b) In our previous consultation in 2017 we discussed that there would be a worksite at Old Kent Road 1 to build the station. We have updated our proposals and we are now also considering carrying out tunnelling activities from the site towards Lambeth North. Please let us have any comments on our updated proposal for how we could use the Old Kent Road 1 worksite.

Comments:

6 Please let us have any comments for our proposals for the Wearside Road Council depot site where empty trains would be stabled.

Comments:

The potential use of the Wearside Road LBL council depot as a Bakerloo line depot could open up an opportunity to route the Waterlink Way in a new off-road section between Wearside Road and Molesworth St or Silver Road - an idea that has been discussed by LBL and Lewisham Cyclists in the past. This should be considered when looking at the depot design, and has already been fed back to the Council's planning department with reference to various applications to develop the Silver Road former industrial site.

7 Please let us have your views on the name of Old Kent Road 1 station. Suggestions for this station have included Old Kent Road or Burgess Park. This is a popular nearby park and there is a history of Tube stations being named after parks (e.g. Green Park, Regents Park). We welcome your views on the name of the station*.

Comments:

8 Please let us have your views on the name of Old Kent Road 2 station. Suggestions for this station have included Old Kent Road or Asylum which reflects the nearby road of that name and the history of buildings in the area. We welcome your views on the name of the station*.

Comments:

9 We are considering a further extension of the route beyond Lewisham to Hayes and Beckenham Junction. This would involve a conversion of the National Rail line via Catford to Hayes to an Underground operation.

Partially support

Comments:

Currently non-folding bicycles are allowed on all trains between Hayes and Central London, except at peak times in the peak flow direction. If the line is taken over by the Bakerloo Line we wish to see this practice continuing on the section between Embankment and all stations to Beckenham Junction and Hayes to maximise the potential active travel benefits of the extended line.

About you

10 What is your name?

Name:

Alex Raha

11 What is your email address?

Email:

lewishamcyclists@gmail.com

12 What is your postcode?

Postcode:

SE12 9SU

13 Are you (please tick all boxes that apply):

A local resident, Employed locally, A visitor to the area, A commuter to the area

Other:

14 If responding on behalf of an organisation, business or campaign group, please provide us with the name:

Organisation:

Lewisham Cyclists

15 How did you find out about this consultation?

Social media

Other:

16 What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)?

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website structure & ease of finding what you needed:

Adequate

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Written information:

Adequate

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Maps, images & related diagrams:

Adequate

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Online survey format:

Good

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Website accessibility:

Good

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Events & exhibitions:

Good

What do you think about the quality of this consultation (for example, the information we have provided, any printed material you have received, any maps or plans, the website and questionnaire etc.)? - Promotional material:

Adequate

Do you have any further comments about the quality of the consultation material?:

Equality Monitoring

17 Gender:

Prefer not to say

18 Ethnic Group:

Prefer not to say

19 Age:

Prefer not to say

20 Sexual Orientation:

Prefer not to say

21 Religious faith:

Prefer not to say

22 Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months? (Please include problems related to old age)

Prefer not to say

Prefer not to say

Other: